arXiv:1006.3339v1 [math.NT] 16 Jun 2010

Hyperbolic-sine analogues of Eisenstein series, generalized Hurwitz numbers, and q-zeta functions

Yasushi Komori[*], Kohji Matsumoto[†] and Hirofumi Tsumura[‡]

Abstract

We consider certain double series of Eisenstein type involving hyperbolic-sine functions. We define certain generalized Hurwitz numbers, in terms of which we evaluate those double series. Our main results can be regarded as a certain generalization of well-known results of Hurwitz, Herglotz, Katayama and so on. Our results also include recent formulas of the third-named author which are double analogues of the formulas of Cauchy, Mellin, Ramanujan, Berndt and so on, about certain Dirichlet series involving hyperbolic functions. As an application, we give some evaluation formulas for q-zeta functions at positive integers.

Keywords: Eisenstein series, Hurwitz numbers, Lemniscate constant, Hyperbolic functions, q-zeta functions
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11M41, Secondary 11M99

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of rational integers, $\mathbb{Q}$ the field of rational numbers, $\mathbb{R}$ the field of real numbers, and $\mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers.

We begin with a fascinating result of Hurwitz [12] (see also [13]), which is

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}\\(m,n)\neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+ni)^k} = \frac{(2\varpi)^k}{k!} H_k \tag{1.1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 3$, where $i=\sqrt{-1}$, ϖ is called the lemniscate constant defined by

$$\varpi = 2\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} = 2.622057\cdots,$$

and $\{H_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are often called Hurwitz numbers defined as coefficients of the Laurent

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, Rikkyo University, Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan. Email: komori@rikkyo.ac.jp

[†] Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan. Email: kohjimat@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

[‡] Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1, Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397 Japan. Email: tsumura@tmu.ac.jp

series expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\wp(z) &= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}\varpi+\mathbb{Z}\varpi i\\ \lambda\neq 0}} \left(\frac{1}{(z-\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^m H_m}{m}\frac{z^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

(see also, for example, [2, 26]). Note that $H_m = 0$ if $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, because $\wp(-z) = \wp(z)$ and $\wp(iz) = -\wp(z)$, while $H_4 = 1/10$, $H_8 = 3/10$, etc. Formula (1.1) can be written in terms of Eisenstein series $G_{2k}(\tau)$ defined by

$$G_{2k}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}\\ (m,n)\neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^{2k}} \qquad (k\in\mathbb{N};\ k\geq 2) \tag{1.3}$$

for $\tau\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\Im\tau > 0$ (see, for example, Koblitz [18], Serre [27]). In fact (1.1) gives, for example,

$$G_4(i) = \frac{1}{15}\varpi^4,\ G_8(i) = \frac{1}{525}\varpi^8,\ G_{12}(i) = \frac{2}{53625}\varpi^{12}, \dots \tag{1.4}$$

Generalizations of these results were given subsequently by Dintzl (to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$), Matter (to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$), Naryskina (to imaginary quadratic number fields with class number 1), Dintzl and Herglotz (to general imaginary quadratic number fields). For the details of these results, see Lemmermeyer [22, Chapter 8].

On the other hand, let

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2) = \frac{e^{2\pi i x\xi/\omega_1}}{\omega_1}\frac{\theta'(0;\tau)\theta(\xi/\omega_1 + x\tau - y;\tau)}{\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)\theta(x\tau - y;\tau)} \tag{1.5}$$

for $\xi\in\mathbb{C}$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$ with $0<x<1$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2\in\mathbb{C}$, $\omega_2/\omega_1 = \tau\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\Im\tau>0$, where $\theta(z)$ is the Jacobi theta function defined by

$$\theta(z) = \theta(z;\tau) = -i\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(\pi i\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\tau + 2\pi i\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)z + \pi i n\right), \tag{1.6}$$

with

$$\theta'(0) = 2\pi e^{\pi i\tau/4}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-e^{2\pi i n\tau})^3 = 2\pi\eta(\tau)^3. \tag{1.7}$$

Then Kronecker proved

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\lim_{M\to\infty}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}\frac{e^{-2\pi i(mx+ny)}}{\xi+m\omega_1+n\omega_2} \tag{1.8}$$

(see, for example, Weil [31, Chapter 8]).

In [16], motivated by the expression (1.8), Katayama considered the Laurent expansion

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\frac{1}{\xi}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{j+1}(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)}{(j+1)!}\xi^j \tag{1.9}$$

([16, (2.8)], where he wrote $S_{j+1}(\omega_1,\omega_2;x,y)$ instead of $\mathcal{H}_{j+1}(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)$) and proved that

$$\mathcal{H}_{j+1}(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)=(-1)^j(j+1)!\lim_{M\to\infty}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{\substack{n=-N\\(m,n)\neq(0,0)}}^{N}\frac{e^{-2\pi i(mx+ny)}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^{j+1}} \tag{1.10}$$

for $j\in\mathbb{N}$ (see [16, Theorem 3]), which can be regarded as a generalization of (1.1). Note that these results in the case $(x,y)=(0,0)$ have already been studied by Herglotz [11] as mentioned above. In fact, Herglotz noticed that $\mathcal{H}_{j+1}(0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is a kind of generalization of Hurwitz numbers, because $\mathcal{H}_{4k}(0,0;1,i)=-(2\varpi)^{4k}H_{4k}$.

As another analogue of (1.1), the third-named author [28] recently gave some formulas involving $\sinh x=(e^x-e^{-x})/2$, for example,

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)(m+ni)^3}=\frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi}-\frac{7}{90}\pi^3+\frac{1}{6}\pi^2, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)(m+ni)^5}=-\frac{1}{90}\varpi^4\pi+\frac{31}{2520}\pi^5-\frac{7}{720}\pi^4. \tag{1.12}$$

These can be regarded as double analogues of the following classical result given by Cauchy, Mellin, Ramanujan and so on (see [6, 7, 9]):

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\frac{(-1)^m}{\sinh(m\pi)m^{4k+3}}=(2\pi)^{4k+3}\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2}(-1)^{j+1}\frac{B_{2j}(1/2)}{(2j)!}\frac{B_{4k+4-2j}(1/2)}{(4k+4-2j)!} \tag{1.13}$$

for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, where $\{B_m(x)\}$ are Bernoulli polynomials defined by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}B_m(x)\frac{t^m}{m!}. \tag{1.14}$$

Berndt [4, 5] recovered (1.13) and derived a number of relevant new formulas from a general theorem on a kind of generalized Eisenstein series in [3]; yet another viewpoint is given in [21].

Recently, the authors gave certain functional relations between double zeta-functions of Eisenstein type and the double series defined by

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)m^{s_1}(m+ni)^{s_2}}.$$

By considering special values of these functional relations, we can obtain (1.11), (1.12), and so on (see [20]).

It is the aim of the present paper to generalize the formulas (1.10), (1.11), and (1.12). After preparing some notations in Section 2, we will state one of our main results (Theorem 3.1) in Section 3. Theorem 3.1 asserts that Laurent expansion coefficients $\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ of a certain function $\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ can be expressed as a double limit, involving the hyperbolic-sine function, similar to the right-hand side of (1.10). The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be given in Section 4.

Define Bernoulli polynomials of higher order $\{B_m^{\langle r\rangle}(z)\}$ by

$$\left(\frac{te^{tx}}{e^t-1}\right)^r=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}B_m^{\langle r\rangle}(x)\frac{t^m}{m!}\qquad(r\in\mathbb{N};\ |t|<2\pi). \tag{1.15}$$

Note that $\{B_m^{\langle r\rangle}(x/r)\}$ $(r\in\mathbb{N})$ coincide with the original definition $B_m^{(r)}(x)$ of Bernoulli polynomials of higher order given by Nörlund [23] (p.185 in [23]; see also [24]).

In Section 5 we will show that $\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ can be written in terms of $\{B_m^{\langle r\rangle}(z)\}$ and Hurwitz functions $\{\mathcal{H}_k(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)\}$ (defined in Section 2). The general statement is Theorem 5.1, and combining it with Theorem 3.1, we can show a generalization of (1.11) and (1.12), involving the parameters (ω_1,ω_2). Here we state the following typical special case corresponding to $(\omega_1,\omega_2)=(1,i)$. We use the notation $[x]$ (resp.$\{x\}$) which denotes the integer part (resp. fractional part) of $x\in\mathbb{R}$. The empty sum is to be understood as zero.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$. Assume that $k\geq 3$ and $0\leq z\leq 1$, or that $k=2$ and $0<z<1$, or that $k=1$, $0<z<1$ and $rz\notin\mathbb{Z}$. Then in the case $r\geq 2$ we have*

(1.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi^r\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(-1)^{rn}}{(\sinh(m\pi))^r}\frac{e^{2\pi(m+in)r(z-1/2)}}{(m+ni)^k}\\
&=\sum_{l=r+1}^{k+r}\frac{(2\varpi)^lH_l}{l!}\frac{(2\pi)^{k+r-l}B_{k+r-l}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(k+r-l)!}\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=4}^{r}\frac{(2\varpi)^lH_l}{l!}(2\pi)^{k+r-l}\Big(\frac{B_{k+r-l}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(k+r-l)!}-\sum_{j=0}^{r-l}(-1)^j\binom{k+j-1}{j}\frac{B_{r-j-l}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(r-j-l)!}\frac{B_{k+j}(\{rz\})}{(k+j)!}\Big)\\
&\quad-\frac{(2\pi)^{k+r-1}}{2}\Big(\frac{B_{k+r-2}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(k+r-2)!}-\sum_{j=0}^{r-2}(-1)^j\binom{k+j-1}{j}\frac{B_{r-j-2}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(r-j-2)!}\frac{B_{k+j}(\{rz\})}{(k+j)!}\Big)\\
&\quad-(2\pi)^{k+r}\Big(\frac{B_{k+r}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(k+r)!}-\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^j\binom{k+j-1}{j}\frac{B_{r-j}^{\langle r\rangle}(z)}{(r-j)!}\frac{B_{k+j}(\{rz\})}{(k+j)!}\Big)\in\mathbb{Q}[\pi,\varpi^4,z],
\end{aligned}$$

and moreover the right-hand side is of degree at most $(k+r)$, $[(k+r)/4]$ and $(k-1)$ with *respect to* π, ϖ^4 *and* z *respectively. In the case* $r = 1$, *we have*

$$\pi \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)} \frac{e^{2\pi(m+in)(z-1/2)}}{(m+ni)^k}$$

$$(1.17) \qquad = \sum_{l=4}^{k+1} \frac{(2\varpi)^l H_l}{l!} \frac{(2\pi)^{k+1-l} B_{k+1-l}(z)}{(k+1-l)!} - \frac{(2\pi)^k B_{k-1}(z)}{2(k-1)!} + \frac{(2\pi)^{k+1}}{k!}(B_1(z)B_k(z) - B_{k+1}(z)) \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi, \varpi^4, z],$$

and the right-hand side is of degree $(k+1)$, $[(k+1)/4]$ *and* $(k-1)$ *with respect to* π, ϖ^4 *and* z *respectively. Note that, for* $k = 1$, *the meaning of the summation on the left-hand sides of* (1.16), (1.17) *is to be understood as* $\mathcal{L}im_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\leq m\leq M\\ -N\leq n\leq N\\ m\neq 0}}$, *with the notation* $\mathcal{L}im_{M,N}$ *to be defined at the beginning of Section 3.*

For example, putting $(r, z) = (1, 1/2)$ and $k = 3, 5$ in (1.17), we can obtain (1.11) and (1.12). Similarly (1.16) with $z = 1/2$ gives explicit formulas in the case when $r \geq 2$, for example,

$$\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{1}{(\sinh(m\pi))^2(m+ni)^2} = \frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^2} - \frac{11}{45}\pi^2 + \frac{2}{3}\pi,$$

(see Example 6.2). We further give another example in the case $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (1, \rho)$ with $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$ (see Example 6.3). Also we recover our previous results given in [29] (see Example 6.4).

We prove the above theorem, Theorems 3.1 and 5.1 by considering generating functions of generalized Hurwitz numbers and applying residue calculus to them. Hence our method of the proof is totally different from that in [28, 29]. It is to be noted that a large amount of delicate arguments about convergence of double series are included in the course of the proof.

As an application, we consider q-analogues of zeta-functions (abbreviated as q-zeta functions). In the 1950's, Carlitz defined and studied q-Bernoulli numbers (see [8]). Inspired by his study, Koblitz proposed q-analogues of zeta-functions which interpolate q-Bernoulli numbers. After their work, a lot of authors investigated various q-zeta functions. Recently Kaneko, Kurokawa and Wakayama [15] defined a certain q-zeta function $\zeta_q(s)$ and investigated its properties. In particular they showed that $\lim_{q\to 1} \zeta_q(s) = \zeta(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $s \neq 1$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function. Furthermore Wakayama and Yamasaki [30] generalized $\zeta_q(s)$. Here we deduce some formulas for the values at positive integers of these functions from Theorem 1.1 (see Proposition 7.1). For example, for $q = e^{-2\pi} < 1$, we prove

$$(1.18) \qquad \zeta_q(2) = (1-q)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^m}{(1-q^m)^2} = \frac{\left(1-e^{-2\pi}\right)^2}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)$$

(see Example 7.2).

A part of the results in the present paper has been announced in [19].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we prepare some notations and lemmas which are necessary in this paper. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\Im(\omega_2/\omega_1) > 0$. Put $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$. For $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_{M,N}$ be the boundary of the parallelogram whose vertices consist of $\pm(M+1/2)\omega_1 \pm (N+1/2)\omega_2$.

2.1 Generating functions of certain Eisenstein series

In this subsection, we review Katayama's work [16] with additional remarks. Note that Katayama's work is based on the classical works of Kronecker, Hurwitz and Herglotz (see [11, 13, 31]).

For $-1 < x, y < 1$ with $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, let $\mathfrak{E}(\xi) = \mathfrak{E}(\xi; x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ be as (1.5). It is easy to see that $\theta(z)$, defined by (1.6), is an odd entire function. In particular $\theta(0) = 0$. Also it satisfies

$$\theta(z+1) = -\theta(z), \quad \theta(z+\tau) = -\exp(-\pi i\tau - 2\pi iz)\theta(z),$$

which implies that $\theta(z)$ has zeros of order 1 at any lattice points on $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. It is known that there is no other zero of $\theta(z)$. From these information we find that $\mathfrak{E}$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ and has the quasi-periodicity

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi + \omega_1; x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathfrak{E}(\xi; x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2)e^{2\pi ix}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi + \omega_2; x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathfrak{E}(\xi; x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2)e^{2\pi iy}. \tag{2.2}$$

It is also seen that $\mathfrak{E}$ has simple poles only on $\omega_1\mathbb{Z} + \omega_2\mathbb{Z}$ and its residue at the origin is 1. Hence $\mathfrak{E}$ is bounded on $\bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$.

Since $\theta(0) = 0$, the definition (1.5) is not valid for $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. In this case we define

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi) = \mathfrak{E}(\xi; 0, 0; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{\omega_1}\frac{\theta'(\xi/\omega_1; \tau)}{\theta(\xi/\omega_1; \tau)} + \frac{2\pi i\xi}{\omega_1\omega_2}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then $\mathfrak{E}$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ and has the (quasi-)periodicity

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi + \omega_1; 0, 0; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathfrak{E}(\xi; 0, 0; \omega_1, \omega_2) + \frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi + \omega_2; 0, 0; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathfrak{E}(\xi; 0, 0; \omega_1, \omega_2). \tag{2.5}$$

This $\mathfrak{E}$ also has simple poles only on $\omega_1\mathbb{Z} + \omega_2\mathbb{Z}$ and its residue at the origin is 1.

For $-1 < x, y < 1$, write the Laurent expansion of $\mathfrak{E}$ at $\xi = 0$ by

$$\mathfrak{E}(\xi; x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_k(x, y; \omega_1, \omega_2)}{k!}\xi^{k-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

The first three coefficients in (2.6) are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)=1, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\omega_1}\Big(2\pi i x+\dfrac{\theta'(x\tau-y;\tau)}{\theta(x\tau-y;\tau)}\Big), & (x,y)\neq(0,0),\\ 0 & (x,y)=(0,0),\end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{H}_2(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\\ \begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\omega_1^2}\Big((2\pi i x)^2+4\pi i x\dfrac{\theta'(x\tau-y;\tau)}{\theta(x\tau-y;\tau)}+\dfrac{\theta''(x\tau-y;\tau)}{\theta(x\tau-y;\tau)}-\dfrac{\theta'''(0;\tau)}{3\theta'(0;\tau)}\Big), & (x,y)\neq(0,0),\\ \dfrac{1}{\omega_1^2}\Big(\dfrac{4\pi i\omega_1}{\omega_2}+\dfrac{2\theta'''(0;\tau)}{3\theta'(0;\tau)}\Big), & (x,y)=(0,0).\end{cases}\end{gathered} \tag{2.9}$$

Here we claim that for $k\neq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_k(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is continuous at $(x,y)=(0,0)$ with respect to x. To show this claim, we use

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\begin{cases}\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)-\mathcal{H}_1(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2) & (x\neq 0),\\ \mathfrak{E}(\xi;0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2) & (x=0).\end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

In [16], Katayama considered each case individually. We prove the following continuity.

Lemma 2.1. *Fix $\xi\notin\omega_1\mathbb{Z}+\omega_2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is continuous with respect to x at the origin.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{x\to 0}\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\ &=\lim_{x\to 0}\left(\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)-\left(1+\frac{2\pi i x\xi}{\omega_1}\right)\mathcal{H}_1(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)+\frac{2\pi i x\xi}{\omega_1}\mathcal{H}_1(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\right)\\ &=\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{e^{2\pi i x\xi/\omega_1}}{\omega_1}\frac{\theta'(0;\tau)\theta(\xi/\omega_1+x\tau;\tau)-e^{-2\pi i x\xi/\omega_1}(1+2\pi i x\xi/\omega_1)\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)\theta'(x\tau;\tau)}{\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)\theta(x\tau;\tau)}\\ &\qquad-\lim_{x\to 0}(1+2\pi i x\xi/\omega_1)\frac{2\pi i x}{\omega_1}+\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{2\pi i x\xi}{\omega_1}\mathcal{H}_1(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\ &=\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{e^{2\pi i x\xi/\omega_1}}{\omega_1\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)\theta(x\tau;\tau)}\Big(\theta'(0;\tau)(\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)+\theta'(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)x\tau+O(x^2))\\ &\qquad\qquad-\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)(\theta'(0;\tau)+O(x^2))\Big)+\frac{2\pi i\xi}{\omega_1\omega_2}\\ &=\frac{1}{\omega_1}\frac{\theta'(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)}{\theta(\xi/\omega_1;\tau)}+\frac{2\pi i\xi}{\omega_1\omega_2},\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where we have used the fact $\theta(0;\tau)=\theta''(0;\tau)=0$ and

$$\lim_{x\to 0}x\mathcal{H}_1(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\frac{1}{\tau\omega_1}\lim_{x\to 0}x\tau\frac{\theta'(x\tau;\tau)}{\theta(x\tau;\tau)}=\frac{1}{\omega_2}. \tag{2.12}$$

This implies the assertion. □

For $-1 < x < 1$, we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(x;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;x;\omega_1,\omega_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(x;\omega_1,\omega_2)}{k!}\xi^{k-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(x;\omega_1,\omega_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & (k=1), \\ \mathcal{H}_k(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2) & (k \neq 1). \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Using the Cauchy integral formula, we see that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(x;\omega_1,\omega_2) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(0;\omega_1,\omega_2). \tag{2.15}$$

Then we see that $\mathcal{H}_k(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is continuous as required. We call $\mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \mathcal{H}_k(0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ the *kth Hurwitz number* associated with (ω_1,ω_2), and $\mathcal{H}_k(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ the *kth Hurwitz function* associated with (ω_1,ω_2) (which may be justified by (2.19) below). We quote the following.

Proposition 2.2 (Katayama [16], Theorem 3)**.** *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$. Let $-1 < x,y < 1$. If $k = 2$, then assume $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$. We have*

$$\mathcal{H}_k(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2) = \begin{cases} -2! \lim\limits_{M\to\infty} \sum\limits_{-M \leq m \leq M} \lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sum\limits_{\substack{-N \leq n \leq N \\ (m,n)\neq(0,0)}} \dfrac{e^{2\pi i(mx+ny)}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^2} & (k=2), \\ -k! \sum\limits_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}} \dfrac{e^{2\pi i(mx+ny)}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} & (k \geq 3). \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

If $k \geq 3$, then the series converges absolutely and uniformly.

We can see that

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1,\omega_2) = -k! \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}} \frac{1}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} \tag{2.17}$$

for $k \geq 3$. Moreover by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2(\omega_1,\omega_2) &= -2! \lim_{x\to 0} \left\{ \lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{-M \leq m \leq M} \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{-N \leq n \leq N \\ (m,n)\neq(0,0)}} \frac{e^{2\pi imx}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1^2}\Big(\frac{4\pi i\omega_1}{\omega_2} + \frac{2\theta'''(0;\tau)}{3\theta'(0;\tau)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Lemma 2.3. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 3$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_k(1,i) = -(2\varpi)^k H_k \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_2(1,-1/\tau) = \tau^2\mathcal{H}_2(1,\tau) - 4\pi i\tau, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2(1,i) = 2\pi. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. First, comparing (1.1) and (2.16), we have (2.19). To prove the other formulas, we recall

$$(-i\tau)^{1/2}\theta(z;\tau) = -i\exp(-i\pi z^2/\tau)\theta(-z/\tau;-1/\tau) \tag{2.22}$$

(see [31, Chap. 7]). Differentiating the both sides by z and putting $z = 0$, we have

$$(-i\tau)^{1/2}\theta'(0;\tau) = \frac{i}{\tau}\theta'(0;-1/\tau). \tag{2.23}$$

In addition, differentiating twice more, we have

$$(-i\tau)^{1/2}\theta'''(0;\tau) = \frac{6\pi}{\tau^2}\theta'(0;-1/\tau) + \frac{i}{\tau^3}\theta'''(0;-1/\tau). \tag{2.24}$$

Dividing (2.24) by $\theta'(0;-1/\tau)$, and using (2.23), we have

$$\frac{i}{\tau}\frac{\theta'''(0;\tau)}{\theta'(0;\tau)} = \frac{6\pi}{\tau^2} + \frac{i}{\tau^3}\frac{\theta'''(0;-1/\tau)}{\theta'(0;-1/\tau)}. \tag{2.25}$$

By (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2(1,\tau) &= \frac{4\pi i}{\tau} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\theta'''(0;\tau)}{\theta'(0;\tau)}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2(1,-1/\tau) &= -4\pi i\tau + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\theta'''(0;-1/\tau)}{\theta'(0;-1/\tau)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Therefore, combining (2.25) and (2.26), and eliminating θ'''/θ', we obtain (2.20) and especially (2.21). □

Remark 2.4. It follows from Proposition 2.2 that (2.20) and (2.21) correspond to the well-known facts $G_2(-1/\tau) = \tau^2 G_2(\tau) + 2\pi i\tau$ and $G_2(i) = -\pi$, where $G_2(\tau)$ is the Eisenstein series of weight 2 defined by

$$G_2(\tau) = \lim_{M\to\infty}\sum_{-M\le m\le M}\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{\substack{-N\le n\le N\\(m,n)\ne(0,0)}}\frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^2},$$

(see Serre [27, Chapter 7, §4.4]).

2.2 The generating function of Lerch zeta-functions

Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{F}(\xi) = \mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2) = \frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\frac{e^{2\pi i\xi z/\omega_2}}{e^{2\pi i\xi/\omega_2}-1}. \tag{2.27}$$

Then $\mathfrak{F}$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$, satisfies

$$\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2) = -\mathfrak{F}(-\xi;1-z;\omega_2), \tag{2.28}$$

and has the quasi-periodicity

$$\mathfrak{F}(\xi+\omega_2) = \mathfrak{F}(\xi)e^{2\pi iz}. \tag{2.29}$$

Furthermore $\mathfrak{F}$ has simple poles only on $\omega_2\mathbb{Z}$ and its residue at the origin is 1. Write the Laurent expansion of $\mathfrak{F}$ at $\xi = 0$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(\xi; z; \omega_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(z;\omega_2)}{k!}\xi^{k-1}. \tag{2.30}$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}$ is essentially the generating function of Bernoulli polynomials (see (1.14)), we can easily obtain the following lemma (see, for example, [1, p. 267]).

Proposition 2.5. *Let k be a positive integer. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}$. If $k = 1$, then assume $z \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We have*

$$\mathcal{B}_k(\{z\};\omega_2) = \left(\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\right)^k B_k(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} -\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} 1! \sum\limits_{\substack{-N\le m\le N\\ m\neq 0}} \dfrac{e^{2\pi i m z}}{m\omega_2} & (k=1),\\ -k! \sum\limits_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} \dfrac{e^{2\pi i m z}}{(m\omega_2)^k} & (k\ge 2). \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

If $k \ge 2$, then the series converges absolutely and uniformly.

Furthermore, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\mathcal{B}_j^{\langle r\rangle}(z;\omega_2)$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(\xi; z; \omega_2)^r = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_j^{\langle r\rangle}(z;\omega_2)}{j!}\xi^{j-r}. \tag{2.32}$$

Then, comparing with (1.15), we have

$$\mathcal{B}_j^{\langle r\rangle}(z;\omega_2) = (2\pi i/\omega_2)^j B_j^{\langle r\rangle}(z). \tag{2.33}$$

3 A generalization of the Hurwitz-Herglotz-Katayama formula

To state our result, we first define hyperbolic-sine analogues of Eisenstein series. Let $-1 < x, y < 1$, $0 \le z \le 1$, $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$ with $\Im\tau > 0$. Let $\lim_{\substack{M\to\infty\\ N\to\infty}}$ stand for the limit in the following sense: there exist sequences $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $\{N_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that, for any $R > 0$, one can find $K = K(R)$ for which $M_k \ge R$, $N_k \ge R$ hold for any $k \ge K$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}im_{M,N}$ any one of the following limits:

$$\lim_{\substack{M\to\infty\\ N\to\infty}}, \qquad \lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}, \qquad \lim_{N\to\infty}\lim_{M\to\infty}. \tag{3.1}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im} \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{rn}}{(\sinh(m\pi i/\tau))^r}\frac{e^{2\pi i(m(x+r(z-1/2)/\tau)+n(y+r(z-1/2)))}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} \\ \qquad \begin{pmatrix} k=1 \text{ and } 0<z<1, y+rz\notin\mathbb{Z}, \text{ or} \\ k=2, (x,y)\neq(0,0) \text{ and } z=0,1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{rn}}{(\sinh(m\pi i/\tau))^r}\frac{e^{2\pi i(m(x+r(z-1/2)/\tau)+n(y+r(z-1/2)))}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} \\ \qquad \begin{pmatrix} k\ge 3, \text{ or} \\ k=2 \text{ and } 0<z<1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

In Theorem 3.1, we will show that the first sum of the right-hand side does not depend on a choice of the limits (3.1) while the second converges absolutely. It should be noted that when $(x,y,z)=(0,0,1/2)$ and $k\ge 3$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(0,0,1/2;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\frac{1}{\omega_1^k}\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{1}{(\sinh((m+n\tau)\pi i/\tau))^r}\frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^k},$$

because we see that $(\sinh((m+n\tau)\pi i/\tau))^r=(-1)^{rn}(\sinh(m\pi i/\tau))^r$. Hence we can call $\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ a *hyperbolic-sine analogue of ordinary Eisenstein series* in the sense similar to q-analogues of zeta-functions (see (7.1)-(7.3)).

For $-1<x,y<1$ and $0\le z\le 1$ with $(x,y,z)\neq(0,0,1)$, define

$$\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi)=\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\big(\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)\eta^{-1}-\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{y+rz\};\omega_2)\big) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi)=\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;0,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)=(-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{K}_r(-\xi;0,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2) \tag{3.4}$$

where the residue is taken for $\xi\notin\omega_2\mathbb{Z}$, and

$$\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)=\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)^r. \tag{3.5}$$

We will show later in this section the continuity of $\mathfrak{K}_r$ with respect to x at $x=0$ when $y=0$.

The following theorem implies that $\mathfrak{K}_r$ is essentially the generating function of $\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $-1<x,y<1$ and $0\le z\le 1$, the function $\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is meromorphic in ξ, and especially holomorphic at $\xi=0$. Write the Taylor expansion of $\mathfrak{K}_r$ at $\xi=0$ by*

$$\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)}{k!}\xi^{k-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let k be a positive integer. If $k=2$ and $z=0,1$, then assume $(x,y)\neq(0,0)$. If $k=1$, then assume $0<z<1, y+rz\notin\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=-k!\left(\frac{\pi i}{\omega_2}\right)^r\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2). \tag{3.7}$$

The first sum of the right-hand side of (3.2) *does not depend on a choice of the limits* (3.1) *while the second converges absolutely uniformly.*

Remark 3.2. Comparing (3.6) with (2.6), we may call $\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ the *kth generalized Hurwitz function.*

The above theorem is regarded as a hyperbolic-sine analogue of the Hurwitz-Herglotz-Katayama formula (1.10). In fact, though the above theorem is proved for $r\in\mathbb{N}$, let us consider the case $r=0$ of the theorem formally. Then $\mathfrak{D}_0(\xi)=\mathfrak{C}(\xi)$, and so $\mathfrak{K}_0(\xi)=\mathfrak{C}(\xi)-\mathfrak{F}(\xi;\{y\};\omega_2)$. Therefore from (1.9) and (2.30) it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,0}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\mathcal{H}_k(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)-\mathcal{B}_k(\{y\};\omega_2)$$

and hence, using (1.10) and (2.31), we find that (3.7) is valid (formally) for $r=0$. (On the right-hand side of (1.10), the double sum is divided into two parts corresponding to $m\neq0$ and $m=0$, each of which is equal to $-k!\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle 0\rangle}$ and $\mathcal{B}_k$, respectively.) Our proof of Theorem 3.1 presented in Section 4 is not valid in the case $r=0$ as it is, but it is possible to modify the argument there slightly to obtain the proof for $r=0$. In this sense, Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of the Hurwitz-Herglotz-Katayama formula.

Now we give the proof of the continuity of $\mathfrak{K}_r$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let k be an integer with $0\leq k\leq r-1$ and $X,Y\in\mathbb{C}$, $e^X\neq1$. Then*

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{Y=0}\frac{e^{kY}}{(e^Y-1)^r(e^{X-Y}-1)}=\frac{e^{kX}}{(e^X-1)^r}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Choose a sufficiently large $R>|X|$, and consider the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{L}}\frac{e^{kY}dY}{(e^Y-1)^r(e^{X-Y}-1)}, \tag{3.9}$$

where the path of integration $\mathcal{L}$ is the rectangle whose vertices are $\pm R+ci$ and $\pm R+(2\pi+c)i$, where $c\in\mathbb{R}$ is chosen so that it is not congruent to 0, $\Im X$ mod $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Then the poles inside $\mathcal{L}$ are 0 and X (mod $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$), and so

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{Res}_{Y=0}\frac{e^{kY}}{(e^Y-1)^r(e^{X-Y}-1)}&=-\operatorname*{Res}_{Y=X}\frac{e^{kY}}{(e^Y-1)^r(e^{X-Y}-1)}\\&+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-R+(2\pi+c)i}^{-R+ci}\frac{e^{kY}dY}{(e^Y-1)^r(e^{X-Y}-1)}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{R+ci}^{R+(2\pi+c)i}\frac{e^{kY}dY}{(e^Y-1)^r(e^{X-Y}-1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We have uniformly

$$\frac{e^{kY}}{(e^{Y}-1)^{r}(e^{X-Y}-1)}=\begin{cases}O(e^{(k+1)Y}) & (\Re Y\to-\infty),\\ O(e^{(k-r)Y}) & (\Re Y\to\infty).\end{cases}\tag{3.11}$$

Since $0\leq k\leq r-1$, by taking the limit $R\to\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{Res}_{Y=0}\frac{e^{kY}}{(e^{Y}-1)^{r}(e^{X-Y}-1)}&=-\operatorname*{Res}_{Y=X}\frac{e^{kY}}{(e^{Y}-1)^{r}(e^{X-Y}-1)}\\&=\frac{e^{kX}}{(e^{X}-1)^{r}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.4. *For $0\leq z<1$, we have*

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)^{r}\eta^{-1}-\mathfrak{F}(\eta;z;\omega_2)^{r}\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)\bigr)=0.\tag{3.13}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}(\eta)^{r}\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)&=\Bigl(\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\Bigr)^{r+1}\Bigl(\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta z/\omega_2}}{e^{2\pi i\eta/\omega_2}-1}\Bigr)^{r}\frac{e^{2\pi i(\xi-\eta)\{rz\}/\omega_2}}{e^{2\pi i(\xi-\eta)/\omega_2}-1}\\&=\Bigl(\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\Bigr)^{r+1}e^{2\pi i\xi\{rz\}/\omega_2}\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta[rz]/\omega_2}}{(e^{2\pi i\eta/\omega_2}-1)^{r}(e^{2\pi i(\xi-\eta)/\omega_2}-1)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Since $0\leq z<1$, we see that $0\leq[rz]\leq r-1$. By Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{F}(\eta)^{r}\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)&=\Bigl(\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\Bigr)^{r}e^{2\pi i\xi\{rz\}/\omega_2}\frac{e^{2\pi i\xi[rz]/\omega_2}}{(e^{2\pi i\xi/\omega_2}-1)^{r}}\\&=\Bigl(\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\Bigr)^{r}\frac{e^{2\pi i\xi rz/\omega_2}}{(e^{2\pi i\xi/\omega_2}-1)^{r}}\\&=\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^{r}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

$\square$

Assume that $0\leq z<1$ and $x\neq0$, and fix ξ. By Lemma 3.4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,0,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\&=\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,0,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\&\qquad-\mathcal{H}_1(x,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)^{r}\eta^{-1}-\mathfrak{F}(\eta;z;\omega_2)^{r}\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)\bigr)\\&=\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)^{r}\eta^{-1}-\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\eta;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\eta;z;\omega_2)^{r}\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)\bigr),\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

where the second equality follows from the definitions (2.10) and (3.3). Now it is easy to take the limit $x\to0$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lim_{x\to0}\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,0,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)\tag{3.17}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{x\to 0}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)^r\eta^{-1}-\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\eta;x;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\eta;z;\omega_2)^r\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)\bigr)\\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\xi;0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)^r\eta^{-1}-\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(\eta;0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\eta;z;\omega_2)^r\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{rz\};\omega_2)\bigr)\\
&= \mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;0,0,z;\omega_1,\omega_2),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the continuity of $\mathfrak{K}_r$ with respect to x at $x=0$.

Here we remark that the situation in the case $z=1$ is slightly different. By

$$
\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)=-\mathfrak{E}(-\xi;-x,-y;\omega_1,\omega_2) \tag{3.18}
$$

and (2.28), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi;x,y,1;\omega_1,\omega_2) &= \mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\xi;1;\omega_2)^r\\
&= (-1)^r\mathfrak{E}(\xi;x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(-\xi;0;\omega_2)^r\\
&= (-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{E}(-\xi;-x,-y;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(-\xi;0;\omega_2)^r\\
&= (-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{D}_r(-\xi;-x,-y,0;\omega_1,\omega_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}
$$

and so for $x\neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\
&\quad= \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi;x,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)\eta^{-1}-\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta;x,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;0;\omega_2)\bigr)\\
&\quad= (-1)^{r+1}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\mathfrak{D}_r(-\xi;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\eta^{-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\mathfrak{D}_r(-\eta;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(-\xi+\eta;1;\omega_2)\bigr)\\
&\quad= (-1)^{r+1}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\mathfrak{D}_r(-\xi;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\eta^{-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\mathfrak{D}_r(-\eta;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(-\xi+\eta;0;\omega_2)e^{2\pi i(-\xi+\eta)/\omega_2}\bigr)\\
&\quad= (-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{K}_r(-\xi;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\
&\qquad\qquad+(-1)^r\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\bigl(\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(-\xi-\eta;0;\omega_2)(e^{2\pi i(-\xi-\eta)/\omega_2}-1)\bigr)\\
&\quad= (-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{K}_r(-\xi;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)+R_r(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}
$$

where

$$
R_r(x)=(-1)^r\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2). \tag{3.21}
$$

Therefore (3.17) and (3.20) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x\to 0}(\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)-R_r(x)) &= \lim_{x\to 0}(-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{K}_r(-\xi;-x,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\
&= (-1)^{r+1}\mathfrak{K}_r(-\xi;0,0,0;\omega_1,\omega_2).\\
&= \mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;0,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}
$$

Note that (3.22) means that if we subtract the constant term $R_r(x)$ with respect to ξ from $\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)$, then it goes to $\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;0,0,1;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ when $x\to 0$.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

For $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_{M,N}$ be the boundary of the parallelogram whose vertices consist of $\pm(M+1/2)\omega_1 \pm (N+1/2)\omega_2$. We show some key lemmas about the following limit:

$$\mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \int_{C_{M,N}} \xi^{-k} \mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ and $0 \leq z \leq 1$. Then* (4.1) *holds.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $k = 1$, $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, $0 < z < 1$, $y + rz \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then* (4.1) *holds.*

Remark 4.3. In Lemma 4.2, if $z = 0, 1$ and $y + rz \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $\lim_{M\to\infty} \lim_{N\to\infty}$ is allowed while if $z \neq 0, 1$ and $y + rz \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\lim_{N\to\infty} \lim_{M\to\infty}$ is allowed.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ and $0 \leq z \leq 1$. If $k = 2$, then assume $z \neq 0, 1$. Then* (4.1) *holds.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $k = 1$, $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, $0 < z < 1$ and $rz \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then* (4.1) *holds.*

To show these lemmas, we need some preparation. Let $C^1_{M,N}$ be the segment from $-(M + 1/2)\omega_1 + (N + 1/2)\omega_2$ to $(M + 1/2)\omega_1 + (N + 1/2)\omega_2$ and $C^2_{M,N}$, from $(M + 1/2)\omega_1 + (N + 1/2)\omega_2$ to $(M + 1/2)\omega_1 - (N + 1/2)\omega_2$.

Let C^1_N be the line from $-\infty\omega_1 + (N + 1/2)\omega_2$ to $\infty\omega_1 + (N + 1/2)\omega_2$ and C^2_M, from $(M + 1/2)\omega_1 - \infty\omega_2$ to $(M + 1/2)\omega_1 + \infty\omega_2$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there exist positive constants c, δ, depending only on α, such that for all $\xi \in \bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$,*

$$\left| \frac{e^{2\pi i\xi\alpha/\omega_2}}{e^{2\pi i\xi/\omega_2} - 1} \right| \leq c e^{-\delta|\Re(i\xi/\omega_2)|}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. This is because the left-hand side is periodic in ξ with period ω_2, while, since $0 < \alpha < 1$, the left-hand side is of exponential decay when ξ moves along C^1_N. □

Lemma 4.7. *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i n\alpha}}{\beta + n} = 2\pi i \frac{e^{2\pi i\beta(1-\{\alpha\})}}{e^{2\pi i\beta} - 1} (= \Phi(\alpha, \beta), \text{say}). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. In this proof, we temporarily set $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (1, i)$. Assume $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let

$$f(\xi) = \frac{e^{2\pi\xi\alpha}}{e^{2\pi\xi} - 1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Then by Lemma 4.6, we see that there exist $c, \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(\xi)| \leq ce^{-\delta|\Re\xi|} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $\xi \in \bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$. Putting $\xi = a + (N+1/2)i$ on C_N^1, for all N with sufficiently large $|N|$, we obtain

$$|(\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi)| \leq c|N + 1/2 + \Re\beta|^{-1} e^{-\delta|a|} \leq e^{-\delta|a|} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta|a|} da < \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \int_{C_{M,N}^1} (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi = \int_{C_N^1} (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\lim_{N\to\pm\infty} \int_{C_N^1} (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Setting $\xi = a + bi$ on $C_{M,N}^2$ with $a = M + 1/2$, for all M with sufficiently large $|M|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{C_{M,N}^2} (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi \right| &\leq ce^{-\delta|a|} \int_{-(N+1/2)}^{N+1/2} |(a + bi + \beta i)^{-1}| db \\ &\leq ce^{-\delta|a|} |a - \Im\beta|^{-1} (2N+1), \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \int_{C_{M,N}^2} (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Hence for a sufficiently large $N > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{M\to\infty} \int_{C_{M,N}} (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi &= \left(\int_{C_N^1} - \int_{C_{-N-1}^1} \right) (\xi + i\beta)^{-1} f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i n\alpha}}{\beta + n} + 2\pi i \frac{e^{-2\pi i\beta\alpha}}{e^{-2\pi i\beta} - 1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where the second equality follows by counting the residues of the poles between C_N^1 and C_{-N-1}^1. But (4.9) implies that the second member of (4.12) tends to 0 as $N \to \infty$. Hence the third member also tends to 0, which implies (4.3).

□

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. *Then there exists* $c = c(\alpha) > 0$ *such that*

$$\left| \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i n\alpha}}{\beta + n} \right| \leq \frac{c}{|\Im\beta|} \tag{4.13}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\frac{e^{2\pi i n\alpha}}{\beta+n} &= \Big(\sum_{n=-N}^{N} e^{2\pi i n\alpha}\Big)\frac{1}{\beta+N} - \int_{-N}^{N}\Big(\sum_{n=-N}^{[\xi]} e^{2\pi i n\alpha}\Big)\frac{-d\xi}{(\beta+\xi)^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-2\pi i N\alpha}(1-e^{2\pi i\alpha(2N+1)})}{1-e^{2\pi i\alpha}}\frac{1}{\beta+N} \\ &\quad - \int_{-N}^{N}\frac{e^{-2\pi i N\alpha}(1-e^{2\pi i\alpha([\xi]+N+1)})}{1-e^{2\pi i\alpha}}\frac{-d\xi}{(\beta+\xi)^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\frac{e^{2\pi i n\alpha}}{\beta+n}\Big| &\le \frac{2}{|1-e^{2\pi i\alpha}|}\Big(\frac{1}{|\beta+N|}+\int_{-N}^{N}\frac{d\xi}{|\beta+\xi|^2}\Big) \\ &\le \frac{2}{|1-e^{2\pi i\alpha}|}\Big(\frac{1}{|\Im\beta|}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\xi}{|i\Im\beta+\xi|^2}\Big) \\ &= \frac{2}{|1-e^{2\pi i\alpha}|}\Big(\frac{1}{|\Im\beta|}+\frac{1}{|\Im\beta|}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\xi'}{|i+\xi'|^2}\Big) \\ &\le \frac{c}{|\Im\beta|}\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for some $c > 0$. □

Lemma 4.9. *There exists $c > 0$, depending on ω_1, ω_2, such that*

$$|\xi| > c(a^2+b^2)^{1/2} \tag{4.16}$$

for all $\xi = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We first show that on $\bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$,

$$|\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \le c_0 \tag{4.17}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$. In fact, the boundedness of $\mathfrak{E}(\xi)$ follows from (2.1), (2.2), while the boundedness of $\mathfrak{F}(\xi)$ follows from Lemma 4.6 when $0 < z < 1$, directly when $z = 0, 1$.

Consider the path $C^1_{M,N}$. By Lemma 4.9, we have

$$|\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \le \frac{c_0 c}{(a^2+b^2)^{k/2}}. \tag{4.18}$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{da}{(a^2+1)^{k/2}} < \infty, \tag{4.19}$$

we have

$$\underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im}\int_{C^1_{M,N}}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

This together with similar estimations for the rest of the path $C_{M,N}$ implies (4.1). □

Proof of Lemma 4.2. First we consider the path $C^1_{M,N}$. For $\xi = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b = N + 1/2 > 1$,

$$|\xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \le c_1 \frac{e^{-|a|r\delta\Re(i/\tau)}}{(a^2+b^2)^{1/2}} \tag{4.21}$$

for some $c_1 > 0$ by Lemmas 4.6 (which can be applied because $0 < z < 1$) and 4.9, which implies

$$\mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \int_{C^1_{M,N}} \xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

Next we consider the path $C^2_{M,N}$. We have, using (2.2) and (2.29),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{C^2_{M,N}} \xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi \\ &= \int_{-(N+1/2)}^{N+1/2} (a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^r(-\omega_2 db) \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (a\omega_1 + (b+n)\omega_2)^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^r e^{2\pi i(y+rz)n}(-\omega_2 db) \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^r \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i(y+rz)n}}{(a\omega_1 + (b+n)\omega_2)}(-\omega_2 db), \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where $a = M + 1/2$. Let $h(M, N, b)$ be the integrand of the last member. Then Lemmas 4.6 and 4.8 imply

$$|h(M,N,b)| \le \frac{c_2 e^{-|a|r\delta\Re(i/\tau)}}{|a\Im\tau^{-1}|} \tag{4.24}$$

for some $c_2 > 0$, which implies

$$\lim_{\substack{M\to\infty \\ N\to\infty}} \int_{C^2_{M,N}} \xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi = \lim_{N\to\infty}\lim_{M\to\infty} \int_{C^2_{M,N}} \xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.7 and (4.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{C^2_{M,N}} \xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r d\xi \\ &\qquad = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^r \Phi(y + rz, a/\tau + b)(-db). \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Applying Lemma 4.6 (available because $y + rz \notin \mathbb{Z}$) to Φ, we obtain for some $c_3 > 0$,

$$|\mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^r \Phi(y + rz, a/\tau + b)| \le c_3 e^{-|a|r\delta\Re(i/\tau)} e^{-|a|\delta'\Re(i/\tau)}, \tag{4.27}$$

which yields

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)\mathfrak{F}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2)^r \Phi(y + rz, a/\tau + b)(-db) = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

Similar estimations also hold for the rest of the path $C_{M,N}$, therefore (4.1) follows. ☐

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(x,y)=(0,0)$. Then on $\bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$, we have*

$$|\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \le \begin{cases} c_4 e^{-\delta|\Re(i\xi/\omega_2)|} & (0<z<1) \\ c_4(1+|\Re(i\xi/\omega_2)|) & (z=0,1) \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

for some $c_4, \delta > 0$.

Proof. For $\xi \in \bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$, put $\xi = (a+m)\omega_1 + (b+n)\omega_2$ so that $0 \le a, b < 1$. Then for some $c, c' > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| &= |\mathfrak{E}(a\omega_1+b\omega_2)+2\pi i m/\omega_2||\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \\ &\le (c+c'|\Re(i\xi/\omega_2)|)|\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

by (2.4) and (2.5). If $0<z<1$, then by Lemma 4.6 and replacing δ by a slightly small one, we obtain (4.29). If $z=0,1$, then $\mathfrak{F}(\xi)$ is bounded and (4.29) holds. □

Proof of Lemma 4.4. By Lemma 4.10, if $0<z<1$, we see that on $\bigcup_{M,N\in\mathbb{N}} C_{M,N}$,

$$|\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \le c_5 \tag{4.31}$$

for some $c_5 > 0$. If $z=0,1$, then

$$|\xi^{-1}\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r| \le c_5 \tag{4.32}$$

holds instead. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have (4.1) in these cases. □

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Since the same estimation as (4.21) holds, the proof of Lemma 4.2 works well in this case. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Due to the properties of $\mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$, we see that $\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)$ has poles only at $\xi = m\omega_1+n\omega_2$ $(m,n\in\mathbb{Z})$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C_{M,N}} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)d\xi = \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=m\omega_1+n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi). \tag{4.33}$$

By Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.4 and 4.5, we have

$$\mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=m\omega_1+n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N}\int_{C_{M,N}} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)d\xi = 0 \tag{4.34}$$

under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1.

The poles at $\xi = m\omega_1+n\omega_2$ $(m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\},\ n\in\mathbb{Z})$ are simple and their residues are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=m\omega_1+n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) &= \operatorname*{Res}_{h=0}(m\omega_1+n\omega_2+h)^{-k}\mathfrak{E}(m\omega_1+n\omega_2+h)\mathfrak{F}(m\omega_1+n\omega_2+h)^r \\ &= \operatorname*{Res}_{h=0}(m\omega_1+n\omega_2+h)^{-k}\mathfrak{E}(h)\mathfrak{F}(m\omega_1+h)^r e^{2\pi i(mx+n(y+rz))} \\ &= (m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^{-k}\mathfrak{F}(m\omega_1)^r e^{2\pi i(mx+n(y+rz))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Similarly the poles at $\xi = n\omega_2$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) are of order $(1+r)$ and their residues are

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) &= \operatorname*{Res}_{h=0}(n\omega_2+h)^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(h)e^{2\pi in(y+rz)} \\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{h=0}\Bigl(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j\frac{(k+j-1)!}{j!(k-1)!}(n\omega_2)^{-k-j}h^j\Bigr)\mathfrak{D}_r(h)e^{2\pi in(y+rz)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)$ has a pole of order $(1+r)$ at the origin, by putting $\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_l\xi^{l-r-1}$ we obtain

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) = \Bigl(\sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{r-j}\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!}(n\omega_2)^{-k-j}e^{2\pi in(y+rz)}\Bigr). \tag{4.37}$$

Hence by Proposition 2.5, with noting $y + rz \notin \mathbb{Z}$ in the case $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{\substack{-N\le n\le N\\ n\neq 0}}\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=n\omega_2}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) \\
&\qquad= \sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{r-j}\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!}\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{\substack{-N\le n\le N\\ n\neq 0}}(n\omega_2)^{-k-j}e^{2\pi in(y+rz)} \\
&\qquad= -\sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{r-j}\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{k+j}(\{y+rz\};\omega_2)}{(k+j)!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Since from (2.30) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^j}{d\xi^j}(\xi^{-1}-\mathfrak{F}(\xi;z;\omega_2)) &= -\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty}\frac{(l-1)!}{(l-j-1)!}\frac{\mathcal{B}_l(z;\omega_2)}{l!}\xi^{l-j-1} \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{(l+j-1)!}{(l-1)!}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{l+j}(z;\omega_2)}{(l+j)!}\xi^{l-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

and for $0 \le j \le r$

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\frac{d^j}{d\xi^j}\xi^{-1} = 0, \tag{4.40}$$

we have

(4.41)

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{\substack{-N\le n\le N\\ n\neq 0}}\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=n\omega_2}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) &= \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{r-j}\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{d^j}{d\xi^j}(\xi^{-1}-\mathfrak{F}(\xi;\{y+rz\};\omega_2)) \\
&= -\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{r-j}\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{d^j}{d\xi^j}\mathfrak{F}(\xi;\{y+rz\};\omega_2) \\
&= -\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{y+rz\};\omega_2).
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.34), (4.35) and (4.41) we obtain

(4.42)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=m\omega_1+n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)\\
&= \underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\ne 0}} \frac{\mathfrak{F}(m\omega_1)^r e^{2\pi i(mx+n(y+rz))}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} + \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{-N\le n\le N\\ n\ne 0}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)\Bigg)\\
&= \underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\ne 0}} \frac{\mathfrak{F}(m\omega_1)^r e^{2\pi i(mx+n(y+rz))}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} + \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) + \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{-N\le n\le N\\ n\ne 0}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=n\omega_2} \xi^{-k}\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi)\\
&= \underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\ne 0}} \frac{\mathfrak{F}(m\omega_1)^r e^{2\pi i(mx+n(y+rz))}}{(m\omega_1+n\omega_2)^k} + \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we show the holomorphy of $\mathfrak{K}_r$ in the neighborhood of the origin. We see that

(4.43)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi) &= \mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) - \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{y+rz\};\omega_2)\\
&= \mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) - \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)(\xi-\eta)^{-1} - \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)\big(\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{y+rz\};\omega_2)-(\xi-\eta)^{-1}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the last term is holomorphic in the neighborhood of the origin, it is sufficient to check the first two terms. We have

(4.44)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) - \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)(\xi-\eta)^{-1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_j\xi^{j-r-1} + O(1) - \xi^{-1}\operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0}\mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)(1-\eta/\xi)^{-1}\\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_j\xi^{j-r-1} + O(1) - \xi^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\mathcal{D}_j\xi^{j-r}\\
&= O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the holomorphy of $\mathfrak{K}$ in the neighborhood of the origin. Hence (3.6) is valid, and so

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi=0}\xi^{-k}\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{k,r}}{k!}.$$

From this and (4.42) we obtain the conclusion (3.7).

If $k\ge 3$, or $k=2$ and $0<z<1$, then the series converges absolutely uniformly and we have the result.

□

5 Relations among $\mathcal{K}_{k,r}$, $\mathcal{H}_l$ and $\mathcal{B}_k^{\langle r\rangle}$

In this section, we first give the following result which includes the previous result given in [28]. At the end of this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume* $0 \le z \le 1$ *and let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *For* $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.1)\quad & \mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\
&= k! \sum_{l=r+1}^{k+r} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)}{l!} \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z;\omega_2)}{(k+r-l)!}\\
&\quad + k! \sum_{l=0}^{r} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l(x,y;\omega_1,\omega_2)}{l!}\\
&\qquad \times \Bigl(\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z;\omega_2)}{(k+r-l)!} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-l} \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-l}(z;\omega_2)}{(r-j-l)!} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{k+j}(\{y+rz\};\omega_2)}{(k+j)!} \Bigr).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(x,y) = (0,0)$ *and* $z \neq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.2)\quad & \mathcal{K}_{k,r}(0,0,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)\\
&= k! \sum_{l=r+1}^{k+r} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l(\omega_1,\omega_2)}{l!} \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z;\omega_2)}{(k+r-l)!}\\
&\quad + k! \sum_{\substack{l=0\\ l\neq 1}}^{r} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l(\omega_1,\omega_2)}{l!}\\
&\qquad \times \Bigl(\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z;\omega_2)}{(k+r-l)!} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-l} \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-l}(z;\omega_2)}{(r-j-l)!} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{k+j}(\{rz\};\omega_2)}{(k+j)!} \Bigr).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From (2.6) and (2.32) we have

$$
\mathfrak{E}(\xi)\mathfrak{F}(\xi)^r = \Bigl(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{l!}\xi^{l-1}\Bigr)\Bigl(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_k}{k!}\xi^{k-r}\Bigr) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Bigl(\sum_{l=0}^{j} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{l!}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{j-l}}{(j-l)!}\Bigr)\xi^{j-r-1},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi) &= \mathfrak{D}_r(\xi) - \operatorname*{Res}_{\eta=0} \mathfrak{D}_r(\eta)\mathfrak{F}(\xi-\eta;\{y+rz\};\omega_2)\\
&= \sum_{k=-r}^{\infty}\Bigl(\sum_{l=0}^{k+r} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{l!}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}}{(k+r-l)!}\Bigr)\xi^{k-1}\\
&\qquad - \sum_{j=0}^{r}\Bigl(\sum_{l=0}^{r-j} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{l!}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-l}}{(r-j-l)!}\Bigr)\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{d^j}{d\xi^j}\mathfrak{F}(\xi;\{y+rz\};\omega_2)\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Bigl(\sum_{l=0}^{k+r} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{l!}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}}{(k+r-l)!}\Bigr)\xi^{k-1}\\
&\qquad - \sum_{j=0}^{r}\Bigl(\sum_{l=0}^{r-j} \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{l!}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-l}}{(r-j-l)!}\Bigr)\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{k+j}(\{y+rz\};\omega_2)}{(k+j)!}\xi^{k-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where on the first sum on the right-most side, the part $-r \le k \le 0$ is removed because $\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi)$ is holomorphic by Theorem 3.1. Comparing the coefficients of ξ^{k-1} we obtain (5.1). Using the continuity (3.17), we obtain (5.2). ☐

Theorem 5.2. *For $z \notin (\mathbb{Z}-y)/r$,*

$$\frac{\omega_2}{2\pi i r\xi}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2), \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega_2}{2\pi i r}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=k\mathcal{K}_{k-1,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2) \qquad (k\geq 2). \tag{5.4}$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is a polynomial function of degree at most $(k-1)$ in z in the interval where $[y+rz]$ is constant. The degree is $(k-1)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{K}_r(0;x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)\neq 0$.

Proof. Fix x and y. By definition, we see that $\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi)$ can be rewritten as the form

$$\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi)=e^{2\pi i\xi rz/\omega_2}\widetilde{K}_r(\xi), \tag{5.5}$$

where $\widetilde{K}_r(\xi)$ is independent of z in the interval where $[y+rz]$ is constant. This expression yields (5.3) and hence (5.4). Furthermore, $\widetilde{K}_r(\xi)=e^{-2\pi i\xi rz/\omega_2}\mathfrak{K}_r(\xi)$ and (3.6) imply that $\widetilde{K}_r(\xi)$ is holomorphic in ξ around the origin. Comparing the Taylor expansions of the both sides of (5.5), we see that the degree of $\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)$ in z is at most $(k-1)$. By (5.4), we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\Big(\frac{2\pi i r}{\omega_2}\Big)^{k-1}k\mathcal{K}_{1,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)z^{k-1}+O(z^{k-2}). \tag{5.6}$$

Noting $\mathcal{K}_{1,r}(x,y,z;\omega_1,\omega_2)=\mathfrak{K}_r(0)$, we have the last assertion of the theorem. □

Now from Theorems 3.1, 5.1 and 5.2 we can immediately deduce Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $r\geq 2$, from (3.2) and (3.7) we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(0,0,z;1,i)}{k!}=-\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\Big(\pi\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)}\Big)^r\frac{e^{2\pi(m+in)r(z-1/2)}}{(m+ni)^k}, \tag{5.7}$$

while from (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{K}_{k,r}(0,0,z;1,i)}{k!}&\\ =\sum_{l=r+1}^{k+r}&\frac{\mathcal{H}_l(1,i)}{l!}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z;i)}{(k+r-l)!}\\ &+\sum_{\substack{l=0\\ l\neq 1}}^{r}\frac{\mathcal{H}_l(1,i)}{l!}\Big(\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z;i)}{(k+r-l)!}-\sum_{j=0}^{r-l}\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-l}(z;i)}{(r-j-l)!}\frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{k+j}(\{rz\};i)}{(k+j)!}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

which is, by Lemma 2.3 and (2.33), further equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{l=r+1}^{k+r} \frac{(2\varpi)^l H_l}{l!} \frac{(2\pi)^{k+r-l} B^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z)}{(k+r-l)!} \\
&- \sum_{l=4}^{r} \frac{(2\varpi)^l H_l}{l!} (2\pi)^{k+r-l} \Big(\frac{B^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-l}(z)}{(k+r-l)!} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-l} \frac{B^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-l}(z)}{(r-j-l)!} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!} \frac{B_{k+j}(\{rz\})}{(k+j)!} \Big) \\
&+ \frac{2\pi}{2!} (2\pi)^{k+r-2} \Big(\frac{B^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r-2}(z)}{(k+r-2)!} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \frac{B^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j-2}(z)}{(r-j-2)!} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!} \frac{B_{k+j}(\{rz\})}{(k+j)!} \Big) \\
&+ (2\pi)^{k+r} \Big(\frac{B^{\langle r\rangle}_{k+r}(z)}{(k+r)!} - \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{B^{\langle r\rangle}_{r-j}(z)}{(r-j)!} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \frac{(k+j-1)!}{(k-1)!} \frac{B_{k+j}(\{rz\})}{(k+j)!} \Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.9}
$$

From (5.7) and (5.9) we obtain (1.16). For $r = 1$, a similar calculation yields (1.17). Note that in the case when $k = 1$, we interpret $\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m\neq 0}}$ as $\mathcal{L}im_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M \\ -N\le n\le N \\ m\neq 0}}$, which is convergent. The statement for the degree with respect to π, ϖ^4 is clear. As for z, it follows from Theorem 5.2. $\square$

6 Explicit examples

From Theorem 1.1, we can give the following explicit formulas.

Example 6.1. For $z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \le z \le 1$, from (1.17) we have

$$
\pi \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)} \frac{e^{2\pi(m+in)(z-1/2)}}{(m+ni)^2} = \left(\frac{2\pi^3}{3} - 2\pi^2\right) z - \frac{\pi^3}{3} + \pi^2 \qquad (z \neq 0, 1),
\tag{6.1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)} \frac{e^{2\pi(m+in)(z-1/2)}}{(m+ni)^3} \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{2\pi^4}{3} - 2\pi^3\right) z^2 + \left(-\frac{2\pi^4}{3} + 2\pi^3\right) z + \frac{\varpi^4}{15} + \frac{4\pi^4}{45} - \frac{\pi^3}{3},
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)} \frac{e^{2\pi(m+in)(z-1/2)}}{(m+ni)^4} \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{4\pi^5}{9} - \frac{4\pi^4}{3}\right) z^3 + \left(-\frac{2\pi^5}{3} + 2\pi^4\right) z^2 \\
&\qquad\quad + \left(\frac{2\pi\varpi^4}{15} + \frac{8\pi^5}{45} - \frac{2\pi^4}{3}\right) z - \frac{\pi\varpi^4}{15} + \frac{\pi^5}{45}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.3}
$$

The right-hand sides of the above formulas are indeed polynomials in z.

In particular, putting $z = 1/2$ in (6.2), we obtain (1.11). Considering the cases $z = 0$ and $z = 1$ in (6.2) and adding them, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{\coth(m\pi)}{(m+ni)^3} = \frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi} + \frac{4\pi^3}{45} - \frac{\pi^2}{3}, \tag{6.4}$$

which was obtained in [29].

Example 6.2. For simplicity, we let $\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(\tau) = \mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(0,0,1/2;1,\tau)$. From (1.16) we can evaluate $\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(i)$ for $r \geq 2$. To evaluate the right-hand side of (1.16) in the following cases, we need to know some special values of $B_k^{\langle r\rangle}(1/2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_0(1/2) = 1,\ B_2(1/2) = -1/12,\ B_4(1/2) = 7/240,\ B_6(1/2) = -31/1344,\\
&B_0^{\langle 2\rangle}(1/2) = 1,\ B_2^{\langle 2\rangle}(1/2) = -1/6,\ B_4^{\langle 2\rangle}(1/2) = 1/10,\ B_6^{\langle 2\rangle}(1/2) = -5/42,\\
&B_0^{\langle 3\rangle}(1/2) = 1,\ B_2^{\langle 3\rangle}(1/2) = -1/4,\ B_4^{\langle 3\rangle}(1/2) = 17/80,\ B_6^{\langle 3\rangle}(1/2) = -457/1344,\\
&B_0^{\langle 4\rangle}(1/2) = 1,\ B_2^{\langle 4\rangle}(1/2) = -1/3,\ B_4^{\langle 4\rangle}(1/2) = 11/30,\ B_6^{\langle 4\rangle}(1/2) = -31/42,
\end{aligned}$$

while $B_k^{\langle r\rangle}(1/2) = 0$ for any odd k. These values can be obtained directly from the definition (1.15). When $r \not\equiv k \pmod 2$, we can easily confirm that $\mathcal{G}_k^{\langle r\rangle}(i)$ vanishes, hence we only give examples in which $r \equiv k \pmod 2$.

$$\mathcal{G}_2^{\langle 2\rangle}(i) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^2(m+ni)^2} = \frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^2} - \frac{11}{45}\pi^2 + \frac{2}{3}\pi, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_4^{\langle 2\rangle}(i) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^2(m+ni)^4} = -\frac{\varpi^4}{45} + \frac{37}{945}\pi^4 - \frac{4}{45}\pi^3, \tag{6.6}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_3^{\langle 3\rangle}(i) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)^3(m+ni)^3} = -\frac{\varpi^4}{30\pi} + \frac{151}{1890}\pi^3 - \frac{1}{5}\pi^2, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2^{\langle 4\rangle}(i) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^4(m+ni)^2} = -\frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^2} + \frac{191}{945}\pi^2 - \frac{8}{15}\pi, \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 3\rangle}(i) = \mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\leq m\leq M\\ -N\leq n\leq N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)^3(m+ni)} = \frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^3} - \frac{11}{45}\pi + \frac{2}{3}, \tag{6.9}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 5\rangle}(i) = \mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\leq m\leq M\\ -N\leq n\leq N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)^5(m+ni)} = -\frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^3} + \frac{191}{945}\pi - \frac{8}{15}, \tag{6.10}$$

which are higher-order versions of our previous results in [28], where we have proved, for example, (1.11), (1.12) and

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(i) = \mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)(m+ni)} = \frac{\pi}{3} - 1. \tag{6.11}$$

Note that, by using the same method as introduced in [28], we can prove that

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 2k+1\rangle}(i) = \frac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{G}_2^{\langle 2k\rangle}(i) \qquad (k\in\mathbb{N}). \tag{6.12}$$

In fact, from the above listed equations we can observe that (6.12) for $k=1,2$ is true.

Next we consider more general cases.

Example 6.3. Putting $(k,r,x,y,z,\omega_1,\omega_2)=(1,1,0,0,1/2,1,\tau)$ in (5.2), and using (2.7) and (2.33), we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(0,0,1/2;1,\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_2(1,\tau) + \frac{\pi^2}{3\tau^2}. \tag{6.13}$$

Therefore, by using (2.20), we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(0,0,1/2;1,-1/\tau) - \tau^2\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(0,0,1/2;1,\tau) = -2\pi i\tau + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\left(\tau^2-1\right). \tag{6.14}$$

On the other hand, letting $(k,r)=(1,1)$ in (3.7), we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(0,0,1/2;1,\tau) = -\frac{\pi i}{\tau}\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\tau). \tag{6.15}$$

Substituting (6.15) in the both cases τ and $-1/\tau$ into (6.14), we have the following reciprocity formula:

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(-1/\tau) = -2 + \frac{\tau^2-1}{3\tau i}\pi. \tag{6.16}$$

Letting $\tau=i$, we immediately obtain (6.11). Next we let $\tau=\rho=e^{2\pi i/3}$. We prove

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(-1/\rho) = -\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho). \tag{6.17}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(-1/\rho) &= \mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi i(-\rho))(m-n\rho^{-1})}\\ &= \mathop{\mathcal{L}im}_{M,N} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi i(1/\rho+1))(m(-\rho-\rho^2)-n\rho^2)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

because $\rho^{-1} = \rho^2 = -\rho - 1$. Since any of the three meanings of $\mathcal{L}im_{M,N}$ gives the same value, here we specify that $\mathcal{L}im_{M,N}$ on the right-hand side of (6.18) means the limit $\lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}$. Then the right-hand side of (6.18) is further equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{-M\leq m\leq M \\ m\neq 0}} \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{-N\leq n\leq N} \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{\sinh(m\pi i/\rho)(-m\rho - (m+n)\rho^2)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{-M\leq m\leq M \\ m\neq 0}} \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{-N+m\leq n\leq N+m} A(m,n;\rho), \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

where

$$A(m,n;\rho) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi i/\rho)(m+n\rho)}.$$

Fix an M temporarily, and replace the condition $-N + m \leq n \leq N + m$ on the inner sum by $-N \leq n \leq N$. When $m > 0$, the terms $A(m,n;\rho)$ for $N < n \leq N + m$ are to be removed, while the terms for $-N < n \leq -N + m$ are to be added. The total number of these terms is $O(M)$, and each of these terms is estimated as $O(N^{-1})$ if N is sufficiently large. Hence the total contribution of these terms tends to 0 when M is fixed and $N \to \infty$. The case $m < 0$ is similar. Therefore we can replace the condition on the inner sum by $-N \leq n \leq N$ on the right-hand side of (6.19). Then it is equal to $-\rho^{-1}\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho)$. This proves (6.17).

Putting $\tau = \rho$ in (6.16) and combining with (6.17), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho}\right)\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho) = -2 + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{3\rho i}\pi.$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho) = \frac{i}{\rho}\left(\frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{2i\rho^2}{\rho - 1}\right) = \frac{i(\pi - 2\sqrt{3})}{3\rho}. \tag{6.20}$$

From the Legendre formula for quasi-periods of the Weierstrass zeta-function (see, for example, [14]), we can deduce $G_2(\rho) = 2\pi\rho/\sqrt{3}$. It should be noted that (6.20) can also be obtained from this value of $G_2(\rho)$ and (6.13). A feature of the above proof of (6.20) is that it does not use the value of $G_2(\rho)$.

Next we add a few more examples. Similarly to (1.4), it is known that

$$G_6(\rho) = \frac{\widetilde{\varpi}^6}{35},\quad G_{12}(\rho) = \frac{\widetilde{\varpi}^{12}}{7007},\quad G_{18}(\rho) = \frac{\widetilde{\varpi}^{18}}{1440257},\cdots \tag{6.21}$$

and $G_{2j}(\rho) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ with $3 \nmid j$, where

$$\widetilde{\varpi} = 2\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^6}}dx = \frac{\Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{4/3}\pi} = 2.428650648\cdots.$$

These facts were first studied by Matter (see Lemmermeyer [22, Chapter 8]; also Katayama [16, §6.1]). Hence, from (2.17), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_6(1,\rho) = -6!G_6(\rho) = -\frac{144}{7}\widetilde{\varpi}^6,\quad \mathcal{H}_{12}(1,\rho) = -12!G_{12}(\rho) = -\frac{6220800}{91}\widetilde{\varpi}^{12},\ldots$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{2j}(1,\rho)=0$ for $j\geq 2$ with $3\nmid j$. Therefore, applying the same argument as in the case of $\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho)$, we obtain, for example,

$$\mathcal{G}_3^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho)=\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi i/\rho)(m+n\rho)^3}=i\left(\frac{7}{90}\pi^3-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}\pi^2\right), \tag{6.22}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_5^{\langle 1\rangle}(\rho)=\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi i/\rho)(m+n\rho)^5}=\rho i\left(-\frac{\widetilde{\varpi}^6}{35\pi}+\frac{31}{2520}\pi^5-\frac{7\sqrt{3}}{540}\pi^4\right), \tag{6.23}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2^{\langle 2\rangle}(\rho)=\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{1}{(\sinh(m\pi i/\rho))^2(m+n\rho)^2}=\rho\left(\frac{11}{45}\pi^2-\frac{4\sqrt{3}}{9}\pi\right), \tag{6.24}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_4^{\langle 2\rangle}(\rho)=\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{1}{(\sinh(m\pi i/\rho))^2(m+n\rho)^4}=\frac{1}{\rho}\left(-\frac{\widetilde{\varpi}^6}{35\pi^2}+\frac{37}{945}\pi^4-\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{135}\pi^3\right). \tag{6.25}$$

Note that (6.20) and (6.22)-(6.25) can also be given by the same method as in [28].

Example 6.4. By combining (1.1) and (6.6) and using $(\cosh x)^2=(\sinh x)^2+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(\coth(m\pi))^2}{(m+ni)^4}&=\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{1+(\sinh(m\pi))^{-2}}{(m+ni)^4}\\ &=\left(\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ (m,n)\neq(0,0)}}-\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m=0,n\neq 0}}\right)\frac{1}{(m+ni)^4}+\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(\sinh(m\pi))^{-2}}{(m+ni)^4}\\ &=\frac{2}{45}\varpi^4+\frac{16}{945}\pi^4-\frac{4}{45}\pi^3,\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

which was obtained in [29]. Similarly, by (6.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(\coth(m\pi i/\rho))^2}{(m+n\rho)^4}&=\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{1+(\sinh(m\pi i/\rho))^{-2}}{(m+n\rho)^4}\\ &=\frac{1}{\rho}\left\{-\frac{\widetilde{\varpi}^6}{35\pi^2}+\frac{16}{945}\pi^4-\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{135}\pi^3\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

because $G_4(\rho)=0$.

7 Values of q-zeta functions at positive integers

In [15], Kaneko, Kurokawa and Wakayama defined a q-analogue of the Riemann zeta function, so-called the q-zeta function, by

$$\zeta_q(s)=(1-q)^s\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{m(s-1)}}{(1-q^m)^s} \tag{7.1}$$

for $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q \leq 1$. They showed that $\lim_{q\to 1} \zeta_q(s) = \zeta(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except for $s = 1$. More generally, they studied the function

$$f_q(s,t) = (1-q)^s \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{mt}}{(1-q^m)^s}. \tag{7.2}$$

Note that $f_q(s, s-1) = \zeta_q(s)$. In [30], Wakayama and Yamasaki showed that $\lim_{q\to 1} f_q(s,t) = \zeta(s)$ for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ except for $s = 1$. Therefore $f_q(s,t)$ can be regarded as a true q-analogue of $\zeta(s)$.

In this section, we aim to evaluate $f_q(2k, k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ when $q = e^{-2\pi}$. From the definition, we can see that if $q = e^{-2\pi}$ then

$$f_q(2k,k) = \left(\frac{1-e^{-2\pi}}{2}\right)^{2k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{7.3}$$

Therefore it is necessary to evaluate $\sum_{m\geq 1} \sinh(m\pi)^{-2k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 7.1. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 2k-1\rangle}(i). \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathfrak{S}(j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(n\pi) n^j} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Cauchy [9] showed that

$$\mathfrak{S}(-1) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}, \ \mathfrak{S}(-4j-1) = 0 \ \ (j \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{7.5}$$

For $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathcal{J}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \left\{\sin(n\theta) + \sinh(n\theta)\right\}}{\sinh(n\pi)} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi},$$

which is absolutely convergent for $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$. We can easily check that $\sin x + \sinh x = 2\sum_{l\geq 0} x^{4l+1}/(4l+1)!$. Hence, by (7.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\theta) =& 2\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(-4l-1) \frac{\theta^{4l+1}}{(4l+1)!} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \\ =& 2\mathfrak{S}(-1)\theta + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} = 0 \quad (\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)). \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathcal{F}(k;\theta) = 2i \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} \frac{(-1)^m e^{im\theta}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}} \cdot \mathcal{J}(\theta). \tag{7.7}$$

From (7.6), we have $\mathcal{F}(k;\theta)=0$ for $\theta\in(-\pi,\pi)$. On the other hand, combining (7.5) and (7.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(k;\theta)&=\sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^{m+n}\left\{e^{i(m+n)\theta}-e^{i(m-n)\theta}+i(e^{(im+n)\theta}-e^{(im-n)\theta})\right\}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}\sinh(n\pi)}\\&\qquad+\frac{i\theta}{\pi}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^m e^{im\theta}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}}\\&=\sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^{m+n}\left\{e^{i(m+n)\theta}+ie^{(im+n)\theta}\right\}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}\sinh(n\pi)}+\frac{i\theta}{\pi}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^m e^{im\theta}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.8}$$

In order to integrate this function, we remove the constant term of the first sum, namely the term of $m+n=0$, on the right-hand side of (7.8) and define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(k;\theta)=&\sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{\substack{n\neq 0\\ m+n\neq 0}}\frac{(-1)^{m+n}e^{i(m+n)\theta}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}\sinh(n\pi)}\\&+i\sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^{m+n}e^{(im+n)\theta}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}\sinh(n\pi)}\\&+\frac{i\theta}{\pi}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^m e^{im\theta}}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.9}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(k;\theta)=0$ for $\theta\in(-\pi,\pi)$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(k;\theta)=\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k}}\tag{7.10}$$

for $\theta\in(-\pi,\pi)$. By calculating $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\theta)+\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(-\theta))/2$, we obtain from (7.9) and (7.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{\substack{n\neq 0\\ m+n\neq 0}}\frac{(-1)^{m+n}\cos((m+n)\theta)}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}\sinh(n\pi)}+i\sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^{m+n}\cosh((im+n)\theta)}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}\sinh(n\pi)}\\&\qquad-\frac{\theta}{\pi}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{(-1)^m\sin(m\theta)}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}}=\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k}}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.11}$$

Now we integrate the both sides of (7.11) with respect to θ from $-\pi$ to π. Then, noting

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\cos((m+n)\theta)d\theta=0,\ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\cosh((im+n)\theta)d\theta=\frac{2(-1)^m\sinh(n\pi)}{im+n},\\&\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\theta\sin(m\theta)d\theta=-\frac{2\pi(-1)^m}{m},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$2i\,\underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im}\sum_{\substack{-M\leq m\leq M\\ -N\leq n\leq N\\ m\neq 0}}\frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}(im+n)}+2\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}m}=2\pi\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k}},$$

Note that the second sum on the left-hand side corresponds to the case $n = 0$ of the first sum on the left-hand side. Therefore, replacing (m, n) by $(-m, -n)$ on the left-hand side, we have

$$2\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 2k-1\rangle}(i) = 2\,\underset{M,N}{\mathcal{L}im} \sum_{\substack{-M\le m\le M\\ -N\le n\le N\\ m\neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k-1}(m+ni)} = 2\pi \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{1}{\sinh(m\pi)^{2k}},$$

which gives (7.4). This completes the proof. $\square$

Example 7.2. As we mentioned in the previous section (see Example 6.2), we can evaluate $\mathcal{G}_1^{\langle 2k-1\rangle}(i)$ (see (6.9)-(6.11)). Hence, setting $q = e^{-2\pi}$, and combining (7.3) and (7.4), we can obtain the following evaluation formulas for q-zeta functions:

$$f_q(2,1)(=\zeta_q(2)) = (1-q)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^m}{(1-q^m)^2} = \frac{\left(1-e^{-2\pi}\right)^2}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right), \tag{7.12}$$

$$f_q(4,2) = (1-q)^4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2m}}{(1-q^m)^4} = \frac{\left(1-e^{-2\pi}\right)^4}{32}\left(\frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^4} - \frac{11}{45} + \frac{2}{3\pi}\right), \tag{7.13}$$

$$f_q(6,3) = (1-q)^6 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{3m}}{(1-q^m)^6} = -\frac{\left(1-e^{-2\pi}\right)^6}{128}\left(\frac{\varpi^4}{15\pi^4} - \frac{191}{945} + \frac{8}{15\pi}\right). \tag{7.14}$$

We will give more general results about q-zeta functions in our forthcoming paper. Indeed we will be able to give general forms of evaluation formulas for q-zeta functions in terms of theta-functions.

References

[1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.

[2] R. Ayoub, Euler and the zeta function, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 81 (1974) 1067–1086.

[3] B. C. Berndt, Generalized Eisenstein series and modified Dedekind sums, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **272** (1974), 182–193.

[4] B. C. Berndt, Modular transformations and generalizations of several formulae of Ramanujan, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **7** (1977), 147–189.

[5] B. C. Berndt, Analytic Eisenstein series, theta-functions, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan, *J. Reine Angew Math.* **303/304** (1978), 332–365.

[6] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, part II*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1989.

[7] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, part V*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1998.

[8] L. Carlitz, q-Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1954), 332–350.

[9] A. L. Cauchy, *Exercices de Mathématiques*, Paris, 1827; *Oeuvres Completes D'Augustin Cauchy*, Série II, t. VII, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1889.

[10] K. Dilcher, *Zeros of Bernoulli, Generalized Bernoulli and Euler Polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **386**, 1988.

[11] G. Herglotz, Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der Weierstrassschen $\wp$-Funktion, Sitzung, Berichte über der Verhandlungen der Sachsischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Leibzig, Mathematisch-physische Klasse (1922), 269–289.

[12] A. Hurwitz, Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der lemniskatischen Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* **51** (1899), 342–373.

[13] A. Hurwitz, *Mathematische Werke. Bd. I: Funktionentheorie*, (German) Herausgegeben von der Abteilung für Mathematik und Physik der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule in Zürich Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Stuttgart, 1962.

[14] A. Hurwitz and R. Courant, *Vorlesungen über allgemeine Funktionentheorie und elliptische Funktionen*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1922 (4th ed., 1964).

[15] M. Kaneko, N. Kurokawa and M. Wakayama, A variation of Euler's approach to values of the Riemann zeta function, *Kyushu J. Math.* **57** (2003), 175–192.

[16] K. Katayama, On the values of Eisenstein series, *Tokyo J. Math.* **1** (1978), 157–188.

[17] N. Koblitz, On Carlitz's q-Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory* **14** (1982), 332–339.

[18] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms, Second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 97, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[19] Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto and H. Tsumura, Certain double series of Euler type and of Eisenstein type and Hurwitz numbers, *RIMS Kokyuroku* **1639** (2009), 104-122.

[20] Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto and H. Tsumura, Functional equations and functional relations for Euler double zeta-function and its generalization of Eisenstein type, to appear in *Publ. Math. Debrecen.*

[21] Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto and H. Tsumura, Barnes multiple zeta-functions, Ramanujan's formula, and relevant series involving hyperbolic functions, preprint.

[22] F. Lemmermeyer, *Reciprocity Laws: From Euler to Eisenstein*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[23] N. E. Nörlund, Mémoire sur les polynomes de Bernoulli, *Acta Math.* **43** (1922), 121–196.

[24] N. E. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin, 1924.

[25] V. Prasolov and Y. Solovyev, *Elliptic Functions and Elliptic Integrals (translated from the Russian manuscript by D. Leites)*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 170, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[26] G. J. Rieger, Eine Bemerkung über die Hurwitzschen Zahlen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **296** (1977), 212–216.

[27] J.-P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[28] H. Tsumura, On certain analogues of Eisenstein series and their evaluation formulas of Hurwitz type, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **40** (2008), 85–93.

[29] H. Tsumura, Evaluation of certain classes of Eisenstein's type series, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **79** (2009), 239–247.

[30] M. Wakayama and Y. Yamasaki, Integral representations of q-analogues of the Hurwitz zeta function, *Monatsh. Math.* **149** (2006), 141–154.

[31] A. Weil, *Elliptic Functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker*, Springer, 1976.